|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Adaptive Management***  ***Themes*** | ***What Steps Have Been Taken to Meet Theme Objectives*** | ***Suggested Recommendations for Improving Theme Objectives*** |
| *Increase public engagement and*  *outreach* | \*GMUG produces an annual “community report” on SBEADMR in “plainspeak” language for the public  \*Format of annual stakeholder meeting recognizes different levels of audiences and levels of information desired by each  \*CFRI SBEADMR website (repository and archive for all SBEADMR AMG related meetings and documents for public access)  \*District Rangers/Timber staff willing to meet/host field trips with local community stakeholders on specific treatment projects when requested  \* Introduced a “Story Map” in 2021 for public to  review and comment electronically on proposed treatment sites and prescriptions. | \*Engage with groups that have not historically been involved, e.g., CPW, CO Wildfire Council, Bureau of Reclamation, DNR  \*Reduce turnover and vacancies in AMG/FS staff  \*Consider membership composition of AMG  \*Increase new and traditional modes of communicating with the public  \*More opportunities to engage with communities and District Ranger/Staff  \*County Commissioner representation on field trips  \*Hire a communication specialist or coordinator |
| *Shared learning, understanding and transparency* | \*Annual SBEADMR Stakeholder meeting  \*AMG Implementation Matrix links SBEADMR ROD’s goals with Science Team findings, AMG recommendations and FLT decisions  \*SBEADMR Science Team’s annual report of research/monitoring activities  \*SBEADMR Summer field trips with Science Team/GMUG staff; field trip notes produced and posted on CFRI SBEADMR website  \*Outside expertise invited to address certain topics of interest/concern for the AMG membership, e.g., PONSSE, CTL, PODS, RADS, etc. | \*Field trips: pre- and post- treatment – in same location; debriefs  \*Shared understanding of resilience concept in context of SBEADMR  \*Process for integrating scientific information brought to the group  \*Be more transparent about how science informs lynx management and mitigation  \*Develop onboarding processes for new participants |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Adaptive Management**  **Themes** | **What Steps Have Been Taken to Meet Theme Objectives** | **Recommendations for Improving Theme Objectives** |
| *Collaboration throughout the process* | \*AMG Operations Manual  \*Convene regularly scheduled meetings of AMG with GMUG staff and Science Team participation  \*AMG Monitoring Committee works in conjunction with GMUG staff and Science Team to research and refine issues for AMG consideration and/or recommendation | \*Provide more detail on planned treatments during out-year planning  \*Increase opportunities for dialogue with AMG, FLT concerning what adaptions were made, and why or why not  \*Enhance communication internally with GMUG staff |
| *Outputs and outcomes* | \*Science Team is assessing the adaptive management and public engagement processes throughout the life of SBEADMR and reports regularly at AMG meetings  \*Other spin-offs of SBEADMR  - Taylor Park EA AMG  - Wilder  - NFF Partnering with GMUG | \*Evaluate success and challenges of process and document SBEADMR model of collaborative adaptive management in reports, blogs, publications  \*Enhance readiness to receive increased state and federal funding for wildfire mitigation - link to wildfire risk monitoring, decision-support(PODS) pivot towards fuel mitigation and fiber harvest |